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Abstract—Current approaches to L2 motivation view language learning motivation as situated, dynamic and task-dependent (Pawlak, 2012). Despite the widespread recognition of motivation as a crucial variable in L2 acquisition, few studies have focused on the effect of motivational involvement of learners in an instructional setting, such as task-based language teaching context, on learning achievements. This study aimed at probing the effect of motivational strategies (Dornyei, 2001b) applied to the pre-task phase of task implementation on short-term and long-term retention and ease of activation of L2 vocabulary acquired incidentally as a result of engagement with a reading-while-listening task. Multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) revealed that motivational strategies had an enhancing effect on both retention and ease of activation of L2 vocabulary upon the immediate post-test. However, the enhancement was not observed for long-term acquisition since there was a considerable decay of retention and ease of activation upon the delayed post-test. The results confirm the effectiveness of task-specific motivation in improving linguistic achievements. Nevertheless, it is argued that motivational strategies cannot be a single substitution for cognitive strategies.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Learning a second language to higher levels of proficiency requires developing a high level of lexical competence. This development can occur either intentionally, i.e. with explicit intention on the learner’s part to learn the words’ form and use, or incidentally through exposure to the oral or written text. It is admitted that a large size of vocabulary can develop not through instruction, rather implicitly as a by-product of involvement in meaningful use of language. Task-based language teaching (TBLT) offers a means to involve learners in primarily meaning-focused activities while taking the advantage of opportunities to focus learners’ attention, in an implicit manner, on formal aspects of language including its lexicon (Laufer, 2005). Nevertheless, not all tasks are equally effective in promoting vocabulary acquisition. In fact, classifying tasks in terms of their effectiveness in mental actions involving vocabulary learning has been the major concern of many of studies (Laufer and Hulstijn, 2001; Skehan, 1998; Westholf, 2004).

A preliminary examination of related literature indicates that most of these studies have focused largely on cognitive processes involved in task-induced acquisition (Rahimpour, 1997; Robinson, 2001; Skehan, 1998). The affective and motivational processes have failed to receive the due attention regarding their significant position as determining factors in task performance and the subsequent learning attributable to it (Swain, 2012). Although the Involvement Load Hypothesis (Laufer & Hulstijn, 2001) defines task-effectiveness in terms of both motivational and cognitive variables involved in the processing of words through tasks, studies carried out within this theoretical framework have mainly focused on the effect of limited types of tasks on the processing of target words (see Kim, 2011 for a review). Boggards and Laufer (2004) urge that further research be conducted to investigate the efficiency of various enhancement tasks in vocabulary acquisition. On the other hand, vocabulary retention and recall is a cognitive process which can be immensely affected by the motivational conditions involved in the initial encoding and later processing of unknown words through task performance. Despite the well-recognized role of motivational processes in SL development, little attention has been devoted to possible effects of situated motivation in TBLT context. The concept of ‘task motivation’
(Dornyei, 2001a; Julkunen, 1989, 2001) is a conceptual effort to investigate the contributions of motivational processes to TBLT. One of the practical suggestions regarding the enhancement of task motivation is the concept of ‘motivational strategies’ cherished by Dornyei (2001b). The current study examined the effect of motivational strategies in conduciveness of tasks aimed at promoting incidental acquisition of L_2 vocabulary.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Incidental Vocabulary Acquisition in TBLT Context

One of the influential positions in offering a breakthrough to the challenge of L_2 vocabulary acquisition was the idea of incidental vocabulary acquisition. Although there have been controversies over what is exactly meant by the term ‘incidental’ (Gass, 1999), the concept seems to have been refined to the following definition: “Incidental learning is the process of learning something without the intention of doing so. It is also learning one thing while intending to learn another” (Brown, Waring & Donkaewbua, 2008; p 136).

The majority of studies on incidental vocabulary acquisition in a foreign language during 1980’s and 1990’s, as is evident in the special issue of Studies in Second Language Acquisition (Vol. 21, issue 2, 1999), have focused on the effect of involving subjects in extensive reading concerning pleasurable reading with guessing meaning from context (e.g. Paribakht & Wesche, 1999) ; however, some researchers have suggested that extensive reading is typically for the purpose of enhancing the knowledge and memory of partially-known words rather than focusing on building new vocabulary (Nation & Wang, 1999; Waring & Takaki, 2003). As Huckin and Coady (1999) contend, reading for meaning does not automatically lead to vocabulary acquisition. They attribute the chance of incidental vocabulary acquisition to such factors as the context surrounding each word, frequency of exposure, attention and noticing as well as task characteristics. In this line of research, some sort of instructional intervention for the purpose of enhancing input processing is suggested (Hulstijn, Hollander & Greidanus, 1996). Schmitt (2008) suggested combining an intentional learning program with extensive reading to cope with the immensity of L_2 vocabulary acquisition.

In recent years, due to the new developments within language teaching research including focus on form movement and task-based language teaching, vocabulary learning researchers have also begun to advocate focused instruction of vocabulary (de la Fuente, 2006; Laufer, 2005). One of the instructional techniques for the elaboration of input through reading was glossing (Rott, 2005; Watanabe, 1997; Xu, 2010). Different forms of glosses - marginal and multiple choices, L_1 and L_2 - were put into scrutiny. The current study uses within-text L_1 translations as the form of glosses to assist the processing of unfamiliar words within the reading-while-listening text since L_1 glosses have been proved to be as effective as L_2 glosses in contributing to vocabulary acquisition (Xu, 2010). Nevertheless research findings regarding the conduciveness of glosses in vocabulary acquisition is not conclusive. Pulido (2009) has asserted that the mere presence of glosses does not always guarantee the retention of lexical knowledge. To make up for this shortcoming, researchers have regarded the characteristics of TBLT situation.

To begin addressing this issue, a number of researchers have offered explanations of why certain tasks are more effective than others in promoting L_2 vocabulary acquisition. The nature of task engagement is one of the most viable candidates in determining task effectiveness (Westhoff, 2004). Thus, the study of mental actions involved in the processing of unknown words seems to be an important new dimension to the study of second language incidental vocabulary acquisition.

Since the time Craik and Lockhart (1972) introduced their insight-provoking idea of levels of processing, the issue of the effect of processing conditions in learners’ initial encounter with an unfamiliar word on later retention of those words has been one of the routines within SL vocabulary acquisition research. Despite their succeeding attempts to explain the relationship between type of the word-processing and leaving memory traces of it, they were not very successful in clarifying the vague concept of depth of processing.

Laufner and Hulstijn (2001), inspired by the Noticing Hypothesis and Focus on Form Movement, took an influential step toward operationalizing the concept of depth of processing by introducing Involvement Load Hypothesis and the construct of ‘task-induced involvement’. The hypothesis proposed a motivational feature of word processing (need) and two cognitive processing features of form-meaning relations (search and evaluation) as the three determining factors in deep processing induced by different task types. They were the first people to put their hypothesis into empirical assessment (Hulstijn & Laufer, 2001). Since then various studies have been carried out to investigate the effectiveness of task types with different involvement indices in incidental development of vocabulary in recognition and production (see Kim, 2011 for a review).

These studies were mainly focused on the cognitive aspect of input processing. Studies concerning the motivational aspect of task performance are almost missing in the literature. The current study was designed to contribute to the theory of second language acquisition and its pedagogy by filling in the gap on possible effects of eliciting motivational involvement on task engagement and the way promotion in task engagement will influence incidental acquisition of vocabulary.

B. Task Motivation

The research field on foreign/second language (L_2) motivation was founded more than half a century ago by Canadian social psychologists, Gardner and Lambert. The earliest theories of L_2 motivation looked for a macro-
understanding of L2 motivation in the overall social and educational context (e.g., Gardner & Lambert, 1972). However, with a shift of emphasis which began in the 1990s and was fueled by the 1994 debate that went on in the Modern Language Journal, the 'macro-perspective' was reduced to a 'micro-understanding' of L2 motivation in the immediate learning situation. This new perspective led to the blossoming of research on L2 motivation based on 'situated approach' including the influence of the teacher, classmates, task features and task implementation among others. A key conceptualization building the basis for the situated view of motivation was the distinction made by Tremblay, Goldberg and Gardner (1995) between 'trait motivation' and 'state motivation'. Trait motivation, which corresponds mostly to social-psychological view of motivation, involves stable and lasting tendencies of language learners. State motivation, on the other hand, refers to the transitory and temporary motivational responses of language learners to the learning situation. Concerning tasks as a major variable in the learning situation, the trait motivation is general and independent from the task whereas the state motivation is situation-specific and task-dependent (Julkunen, 1989; 2001).

One of the major developments within the situated approach to L2 motivation was the attempt to relate motivation to situation-specific factors in task-based language teaching classes. Dornyei (2002) reiterated that "L2 motivation can hardly be examined in a more situated manner than within a task based framework" (p. 138). The term task motivation is used when task characteristics are the focus of attention in motivation (Dornyei, 2002). Studies of task motivation in SLA research have also concentrated on features of L2 learning situation in predicting task motivation. Dornyei (2009) conceived of task motivation as a complex issue which involves the interaction of the following four factors:

1. Learner-specific factors (e.g., cognitive, motivational and emotional factors; L2 competence; personality traits)
2. Learning situational factors (e.g., teacher, class size, group compositions and school norms and regulations)
3. Task-related factors (e.g., task content, task structure, expected task outcome and task participants)
4. Other factors (e.g., time-related issues, distractions and disruptions)

Empirical studies targeting such a diversity of factors involved in L2 task motivation are still being looked forward to. Most of the studies carried out so far with a focus on task motivation (Dornyei & Kormos, 2000; Julkunen, 1989, 2001; Kormos & Dornyei, 2004; Ma, 2009) have resorted to basic motivational constructs postulated in the Self-Determination Theory (SDT) by Ryan and Doci (2000). Therefore, most of the questionnaires for tapping task motivation are adaptations from the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (IMI) developed by proponents of SDT (Agnesia, 2010; Dornyei, 2002; Dornyei & Tseng, 2009; Kormos & Dornyei, 2004; Ma, 2009). When shuffling through these studies, the following motivational components stand out:

- Perceived value of the task
- Perceived expectancy of success in performing the task
- Perceived effort spent on performing the task
- Perceived autonomy in choosing to do the task
- Perceived enjoyment and pleasure in completing the task

This study is focused on motivational interventions for the purpose of enhancing task effectiveness in incidental acquisition of L2 vocabulary. The motivational intervention will specifically target learners' perceived value of the task, expectancy of success and their enjoyment as determinant components of task motivation as a result of engagement in a reading-while-listening task. The strategies employed to elicit these aspects of task motivation will be discussed in the next section.

C. Motivational Strategies

Due to the dynamic and intricate nature of motivational processes, some sort of motivational support through instructional processes is always necessary so that an optimal situation for learning is ensured. Given the central role of motivational attributes in the learning process, motivational strategies can increase the chances of learning achievement (Cheng & Dornyei, 2007).

Motivational strategies are defined by Dornyei (2001b) as such:

Motivational strategies are techniques that promote the individual's goal-related behavior. Because human behavior is rather complex, there are many diverse ways of promoting it – in fact, almost any influence a person is exposed to might potentially affect his/her behavior. Motivational strategies refer to those motivational influences that are consciously exerted to achieve some systematic and enduring positive effect. (p. 27)

The most academically-appropriate handling of SL motivational strategies was done by Dornyei (2001b). Most of the motivational strategies proposed by Dornyei (2001b) are meant to be related to the language learners' general motivation in long-term trajectory of second language acquisition. However, it has been substantiated through other studies that there is a close relationship between the situation-specific motivation in L2 classroom and general, trait-like motivation (Dornyei, 2002; Dornyei & Kormos, 2000). There have been very few studies investigating specific motivational designs in the classroom. But given the nature of TBLT and with due reference to Dornyei’s (2003) model of task processing, the motivational strategies suggested by Dornyei (2001b) can be accommodated into task-based framework. Dornyei (2003) proposed a theoretical model of motivational task processing which was later validated by Dornyei and Tseng (2009). According to this model, learners' constant appraisal of the upcoming stimuli determines their action control and choice of certain motivational strategies. The model comprises a dynamic task processing system including three inter-related mechanisms of 'task execution', 'appraisal' and 'action control'.
Based on the dynamic task processing model, the three motivational phases postulated by process models of motivation (Dornyei, 2001a; Dornyei & Otto, 1998), i.e., pre-actional, actional and post-actional stages can be matched to the three phases of task activities in L2 classes (Ellis, 2003). The pre-actional stage, which concerns choice issues such as the formation of goals and intentions, corresponds to the pre-task stage from TBLT perspective. Dornyei’s (2001b) first set of motivational strategies, i.e., those for generating initial motivation can be matched to the pre-actional/pre-task phase. For the actional stage corresponding to task implementation stage in TBLT, Dornyei (2001b) proposed the second set of strategies for maintaining and protecting motivation. It must be noted that the relationship between the pre-actional and actional stages of motivation is dynamic rather than linear. The dynamicity of motivational constructs has been stressed more vehemently in recent conceptualizations on L2 motivation (Pawlak, 2012). This study intends to examine the effect of inducing motivational involvement on the possible increase of learners’ engagement with an input-oriented listening-while-reading task. The pre-task phase of classroom teaching process is taken as an opportunity to promote learners’ task-related motivation. To this end, a set of motivational strategies suggested by Dornyei, (2001b) which target several motivational constructs conceivable as situated motivational variables including task attitude, autonomy, competence, effort and enjoyment were selected. The selected strategies have been picked out based on the criterion whether they are applicable to situation specific, state motivation. These strategies have been summarized in table I. The operational details related to motivational strategies appear in the methodology section below.

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motivational Strategies Used for Elliciting Task Motivation (Adapted from Dornyei, 2001b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Present and administer the task in a motivating way by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Explaining the purpose and utility of the task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Whetting students’ appetite about the content of the task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Providing appropriate strategies to carry out the task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Increase the learners’ expectancy of success in performing the task by making sure that</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- They receive sufficient preparation and assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- They know exactly what success in the task involves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- There are no serious obstacles to success</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Make learning stimulating and enjoyable to the learners by increasing the attractiveness of the task through</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Making the task content-attractive by adapting it to learners’ natural interests or by including novel, intriguing, exotic, humorous, competitive or fantasy elements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Personalizing learning tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Use goal-setting methods by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Encouraging learners to select specific short-term goals for themselves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Build learners’ confidence in their learning abilities by teaching them strategies relevant to task performance such as strategies to facilitate the intake of new material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Increase learner motivation by actively promoting learner autonomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. Method

**A. Research Questions and Hypotheses**

The following two questions were the main focus of the study:

1. What is the effect of motivational strategies applied to the pre-task phase of a reading-while-listening task on short-term and long-term retention and ease of activation of second language vocabulary?

2. Do motivational strategies applied to the pre-task phase of a reading-while-listening task have an identical effect on short-term and long-term acquisition of vocabulary?

To initiate the study, the following two hypotheses were proposed:

1. Motivational strategies applied to the pre-task phase of a reading-while-listening task have an enhancing effect on retention and ease of activation of L2 vocabulary.

2. The enhancing effect of motivational strategies in task-engagement is identical for short-term and long-term acquisition of L2 vocabulary.

The independent variable of the study was motivational strategies applied to TBLT classroom through pre-task intervention. The dependent variable was incidental acquisition of SL vocabulary resulting from engagement with a listening-while-reading task. The incidental vocabulary acquisition was measured at the level of its four subcomponents:

1. Short-term retention of vocabulary as measured with a test of passive vocabulary immediately after the task engagement

2. Short-term ease of activation of vocabulary as measured with a test of active vocabulary immediately after the task engagement

3. Long-term retention of vocabulary as measured with a test of passive vocabulary two weeks after the task engagement

4. Long-term ease of activation of vocabulary as measured with a test of active vocabulary two weeks after the task engagement
B. Participants

Two intact classes of junior students of English as a foreign language at the Islamic Azad University, Tabriz Branch participated in the study. To ensure homogeneity of the two groups, a pretest was administered to both classes and those who scored below and above the range of 6-25 were left out of the data analysis. Then the two classes were randomly labeled as control group and motivational involvement group, including 24 and 27 students, respectively.

C. Materials

The main task was a reading-while-listening task. The text for the reading-while-listening task was extracted from a popular success book Giant Steps, written and read out by Anthony Robins (Robins, 1997). The 20 target words had been signified by L1 glosses within the text. The reading text was followed by a whole-class discussion of the topic of the text The Vocabulary of Success. The students were asked to use as many arguments and illustrations from the text as possible.

To choose the target words, 40 words from the reading-while-listening text which were conjectured to be less familiar to the students were selected and then put to a survey from the students. The survey asked whether they signified each word as familiar or not. If yes, they were supposed to provide an equivalent or explanation in L1. 20 words which were checked as unfamiliar were selected for the study.

The pretest included reading and listening comprehension items taken from archive versions of TOEFL iBT as the overall listening and reading skills were assumed to be relevant to task performance.

The post-tests were comprised of a vocabulary retention test and a vocabulary ease of activation test. The retention test was a test of passive vocabulary including 10 four-item multiple choice word translation questions from English to Persian. In order to neutralize the effect of guessing, a 5th item stating 'I'm not sure' was added to the response options (r=0.71). The ease of activation test included 10 fill-in-the-gap sentence translation items from Persian to English. The English translations of the sentences were provided except for the target words (r=0.78).

D. Procedure

This study aimed at investigating the effect of motivational strategies on task effectiveness in incidental acquisition of L2 vocabulary. Both control and experimental groups participated in a text-based task preceded by a pre-task phase and succeeded by a post-task phase. The main task was a reading-while-listening task involving reading a text while listening to the text read out by the author. The target words had been highlighted using within-text L1 glosses. The post task entailed a whole-class discussion of the information presented by the reading-while-listening text. The discussion was stimulated by a set of triggering questions that summarized the main points in the text.

While the main task and the post task were identical for both of the groups, the procedure for the pre-task phase was different for the control group and motivational involvement group. The motivational involvement for the experimental group entailed the operationalization of motivational strategies proposed by Dornyei (2001b). The intended motivational strategies (Table I) were pursued through teacher talk, questioning/answering and video show, all of which was integrated into the pre-task phase of task implementation for the experimental group. First, the teacher presented a ten-minute lecture on the three phases of task, criteria for success in the task, how to improve their performance on the task as well as discussing the importance of vocabulary in daily success (the topic of the text was vocabulary of success). Then a short questioning and answering focusing on students' personal experience went on between the teacher and students. Finally, a video clip made by the researcher containing some fascinating pictures displaying Anthony Robins' career and family life accompanied by an attractive song was shown to the class. The control group was engaged in different kind of activities for the pre-task phase. They read a passage on effective communication and answered 8 comprehension questions that followed. The activity took about twenty minutes. The two tests for measuring short-term retention and ease of activation of target vocabulary were administered immediately after the task completion. The tests for measuring long-term retention and ease of activation were administered two weeks later.

E. Data Analysis and Results

This empirical study was intended to investigate the effect of motivational involvement in task performance on short-term and long-term retention and ease of activation of L2 vocabulary. The descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations and number of participants) of measures for the four dependent variables are introduced in table II.
To determine whether there was any significant difference between the control and motivational involvement groups, a multivariate analysis of variance with pre-test as covariate (MANCOVA) was conducted using the scores on the four dependent measures. The MANCOVA results demonstrated an overall significant difference between the two groups. This means that motivational involvement was effective in enhancing incidental acquisition of vocabulary. In addition to this overall effect, further analyses were administered to scrutinize the four subcomponents of incidental acquisition. These analyses indicated a statistically significant difference between the control and motivational involvement groups in terms of short-term retention and ease of activation of vocabulary. However, the differences for long-term retention and ease of activation scores between the two groups were not significant (Table III).

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-task Intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term Retention</td>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.558</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motivational Involvement Group</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>1.827</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>1.837</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term Ease of Activation</td>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.073</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motivational Involvement Group</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.337</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Retention</td>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.215</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motivational Involvement Group</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>1.423</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Ease of Activation</td>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.096</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motivational Involvement Group</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.192</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.149</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>Partial Eta Squared</th>
<th>Observed Power*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short-term Retention</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.043</td>
<td>15.690</td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>.246</td>
<td>.973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short-term Ease of Activation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.799</td>
<td>6.102</td>
<td>.017*</td>
<td>.113</td>
<td>.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long-term Retention</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long-term Ease of Activation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>.450</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant P<.05

The results of data analysis corroborate the first hypothesis that motivational strategies applied to the pre-task phase of task engagement had an enhancing effect on both retention and ease of activation. But this enhancement was not identical for short-term and long-term learning. In fact motivational involvement improved both retention and ease of activation scores in the immediate post-test whereas it did not have any significant effect on the performance upon delayed post-test. Therefore, the second hypothesis predicting identical effects of motivational involvement in short-term and long-term acquisition of vocabulary is not supported statistically.

### IV. Discussion and Conclusion

Task-based language teaching was primarily proposed for helping learners improve their performance aspects of second language such as fluency. However, later developments within TBLT suggested a means for the acquisition of new linguistic elements, including vocabulary and grammar, through so-called 'focused tasks' (Ellis, 2003). But using form-focused tasks to develop vocabulary has not been a common practice in SLA (Laufer, 2005). One possible reason for lack of focused tasks for vocabulary acquisition is related to the traditional distinction made between the incidental/intentional and explicit/implicit processes of vocabulary learning and teaching because incidental and implicit learning imply purely meaning-focused involvement (Hulstijn, 2003).

The current study was an attempt to lay incidental vocabulary acquisition in the context of focused tasks. Glosses were exploited as a means of input enhancement during the reading-while-listening task. L1 glosses within the text were supposed to provide chances of noticing during a meaning-focused activity. According to the Noticing Hypothesis, which is a founding theory of TBLT, the linguistic input must be noticed during a meaningful processing before it is acquired. Noticing linguistic elements of input during task engagement depends, to a large extent, on task characteristics and task conditions which may encompass cognitive, emotional and motivational aspects of task performance. What has received greatest attention in the literature is the cognitive processes involved in task performance (e.g. Rahimpour, Salimi & Farrokhhi, 2012; Robinson, 2001; Skehan, 1998). There is a lack of studies investigating emotional and motivational aspects of task conditions. According to Involvement Load Hypothesis (Laufer & Hulstijn, 2001), tasks which elicit higher levels of involvement yield better retention of vocabulary. Such an involvement, of course, entails two cognitive elements as well as the motivational element of 'need'. Nevertheless, if placed in a general perspective of
task engagement, the motivational element of task performance can be expanded to other dimensions of motivation including enjoyment, expectancy of success, effort and perceived value of the task in hand. These aspects of task motivation were operationalized in this study through motivational strategies applied to the pre-task phase of the task. According to Willis and Willis (2007), the pre-task stage in TBLT can have a priming effect on later acquisition. The typical pre-task activities target cognitive processes in order to activate schematic knowledge or alleviate linguistic load in task engagement. This study, however, attempted to promote motivational involvement in task performance through pre-task intervention and examine the possible effects on retention and ease of activation of vocabulary encountered for the first time in a text-based focused task.

The results indicated that the motivational involvement had an enhancing effect on both retention and ease of activation of L2 vocabulary in short-term assessment. This finding confirms the postulation that mental actions involved in task performance are not restricted to cognitive processes as they are commonly highlighted in TBLT (e.g. Robinson, 2001). Motivational engagement with a listening-while-reading task had an enhancing effect on later acquisition of vocabulary introduced through this task. This finding is in line with Craik and Lockhart’s Depth of Processing Hypothesis which predicts that conceptual processing of new information will improve memory traces of it. Both cognitive and motivational involvement can be considered as instigators of conceptual and deep processing.

Unlike short-term acquisition, the long-term acquisition of vocabulary was not significantly affected by motivational involvement as there was a considerable decay of retention and ease of activation upon the delayed post-test. Therefore, the enhancing effect of motivational involvement was restricted to immediate post-test, and the effect disappeared very soon. In implementing the pre-task based on motivational strategies, cognitive involvement strategies (e.g., to activate schematic knowledge or linguistic priming) were intentionally avoided so that the distinct effect of motivational involvement is observed in the absence of any cognitive involvement strategies. The results demonstrated that motivational involvement alone, cannot lead to acquisition of vocabulary in the long-run.

This study has some pedagogical implications for the use of focused tasks to promote incidental vocabulary acquisition. Using motivational strategies through pre-task intervention leads to the enhancement of task engagement which, in turn, contributes to acquiring lexical aspects of the linguistic input. However an enduring acquisition is not guaranteed if there is not enough cognitive involvement in task engagement. Further research is required to examine the relative impact of motivational and cognitive involvement on incidental acquisition of vocabulary through focused tasks.
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