

# Verb-noun (Object) Selectional Restriction in Ebughu

Christie Omego

Department of Linguistics and Communication Studies, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria  
Email: christieomego@yahoo.com

Ogbonna Anyanwu

Department of Linguistics and Nigerian languages, University of Uyo, Nigeria  
Email: ogbonnaanyanwu@yahoo.com

Adimchinobi Onyegbuchulam

Department of Linguistics and Nigerian languages, University of Uyo, Nigeria  
Email: Adimchinobi@yahoo.com

**Abstract**—Ebughu has been classified as belonging to the Ibibiod group, a sub-branch of Lower Cross in Delta Cross within the Cross River branch of the (New) Benue-Congo (Urua, 2000). It is spoken in Ebughu village in Mbo local Government Area of Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. Much is not known in documented forms about Ebughu and its speakers. Like most languages of the world, Ebughu exhibits an interesting network of verb-noun object selectional restriction. This paper documents this phenomenon in Ebughu and notes that of the eight verb clusters documented here the degree of selection of noun objects by verbs varies significantly from verb to verb. While some verbs select very few nouns to co-occur with, others select a large number of nouns. This is clearly evident in the observation that of the eight verb clusters documented, two clusters have been observed to have ‘nuclear’ verbs while no nuclear verbs have been identified for the other six verb clusters. The two verb clusters with nuclear verbs are the ‘buy’ and ‘cut’ clusters with their nuclear verbs *lié* ‘buy’ and *pégé*, ‘cut’ respectively. These two nuclear verbs, unlike the other members of their clusters, have the capacity of co-occurring with a variety of noun objects which have the inherent compatible semantic features of being bought and being cut respectively. This study is based on a database including both actual and potential words, which Ebughu speakers agree are consistent with their language rules.

**Index Terms**—selection, restriction, deviance, compatibility, constraint, co-occurrence

## I. INTRODUCTION

All natural languages have a number of features which make them distinct and one of such features is selectional restriction; a phenomenon which was first described by Chomsky (1965). Selectional (collocational) restriction is the co-occurrence constraint or possibility which exists between lexical items. It is the constraint on the combination of senses of lexical items indicated by certain semantic features which they have (Katz, 1966), since in the normal use of language, linguistic forms do not freely co-occur with other linguistic forms. Both strict subcategorization and selectional rules are basic co-occurrence rules that govern the phenomenon of selectional restriction. Whereas strict subcategorization places constraint on the syntactic environment of co-occurring lexical items and is therefore a syntactic constraint (Trask, 1993), selectional restriction, which is a semantic constraint, specifies the semantic properties that lexical items must have in order to co-occur (Brown and Miller, 1985). Selectional restriction requires that semantic features of co-occurring constituents should be compatible. Incompatibility of features co-occurring results in anomalous constructions (Anyanwu and Iloene, 2003). A typical example of selectional restriction is seen in the syntactic and semantic behavior of the English verb *admire*. This verb syntactically, must subcategorize for a following NP object complement and semantically is constrained to select a [+human] subject (e.g. *John admired the picture*). A violation of selectional restrictions is the explanation for the oddity of the following examples (i-ii).

(i)! John ate a stone.

(ii) The car admired the woman

The verb *eat* requires an edible object and the action of *admiring* can be fulfilled only by an animate actor. Even though the view about the role of selectional restrictions is rather diversified, there is general agreement about the central point of compatibility between verbs and their arguments. With respect to natural language processing system, selectional restrictions can help with parsing, word-sense disambiguation and the resolution of anaphora. The word *star* in the sentence “*John married a star*” is ambiguous between a “famous person” and a “celestial body”. However, the example can be disambiguated it is obvious that the object of *marry* must be [+human]. A characteristic of selectional restrictions is that they are language specific. Thus, selectional restrictions are part of language-dependent lexical

information. However, a violation of selectional restrictions does not always result in an ungrammatical expression. In metonymic, metaphoric or idiomatic utterances, selectional restrictions may be violated (Soehn, 2005), as the following examples (iii-v) show respectively. Thus, the violation of selectional restrictions allows us to recognize a non-literal meaning.

(iii) She *put* the wine on the table, right next to the glasses.

(iv) He devoured the book in one single night.

(v) She *poured* out her grief to John

The phenomenon of verb-noun selectional restriction is an instance of selectional restriction (Lyons, 1968, Pearson, 1977, Ndimele, 1999 and 1997, Yule, 2006). Many languages (Emenanjo, 1975, Umeasiegbu, 1979, Anoka 1983, Oweleke, 1995) have this syntactic-semantic feature including Ebughu and it is also part of Ebughu language dependent lexical information and the degree of selectivity varies from verb to verb in that while some verbs select numerous nouns to co-occur with, others select just a few. In this paper, we have made an effort to document verb-noun object selectional restriction in Ebughu and have noted that there exists a very strong selectional restriction between some verbs and some nouns. This means that some verbs in Ebughu co-occur with some specific nouns and not with others and this is an indication that the Ebughu verb plays a significant role in determining its accompanying nouns. Eight clusters of Ebughu verbs have been chosen for this analysis and some verbs within the clusters have been classified into nuclear and non nuclear verbs. Whereas a nuclear verb can select all the nouns that are permissible to the members of a given cluster, a non-nuclear verb can only select for co-occurrence within a cluster those nouns whose semantic features and those of the verb are maximally constrained. Ebughu sentences of the structure: NP<sub>1</sub> + V + NP<sub>2</sub> have been used for the presentation of the data, where NP<sub>2</sub> is a projection of the noun usually selected by the verb in its object position.

## II. VERB-NOUN OBJECT SELECTIONAL RESTRICTION IN EBUGHU

The Ebughu verbs which are documented in this paper have been grouped into the following eight clusters: 'break', 'buy', 'carry', 'cut', 'harvest', 'put on', 'open', and 'hold' clusters. We have also tried to present sketchy semantic componential analyses of the eight verb clusters using certain contrastive, diagnostic and supplementary semantic features (Ndimele, 1999)

### A. The Break Cluster

In this cluster, five non-clear verbs have been identified here. There is no nuclear verb in this cluster. The non-nuclear verbs identified are: *w`ìk`* 'tear to break', *bún* 'twist to break', *tùàk`* 'hit to break', *núák`* 'dislocate to break', and *núán`* 'smash to break'. The semantic features; [+/-breakable], [+/- snappable], [+/- breakable into parts/pieces], [+/- thin object noun], [+/-breakable with hand/leg as instrument], [+/- can be hit against object], and [+/-can be hit with finger or toe] have been used to discuss the semantic compatibility relationship between a verb and its accompanying noun object in this cluster. Verbs in this cluster have a [+] or [-] value of these features encoded in their inherent semantics which specifically relate to the inherent semantic features of the accompanying noun objects usually selected by the verbs in the *break* cluster as shown below.

#### The Verb *w`ìk`* 'tear to break'

The verb *w`ìk`* 'tear to break' co-occurs with a noun object with the inherent semantic features: [+breakable], [+snappable], [-breakable into pieces], [-thin object noun], [-leg/hand required as instrument], [- can be hit against object], and [- can be hit with finger or toe] as the example in (1) shows.

- (1) òy`è`ìó` àgá` w`ìk` òg`òk` á`ì`ìè`  
wind 3sgcl.past tear to break branch tree  
'The wind broke the branch of the tree'

#### The Verb *bún* 'twist to break'

The verb *bún* 'twist to break' selects noun objects with the inherent semantic features: [+breakable], [+snappable], [+breakable into parts], [+ thin object], [+ breakable with hand/leg as instrument], [-can be hit against object], and [- can be hit with finger or toe]. An example is given in (2).

- (2) ùyà` òg`ó` bún` ípé`  
Uya 3sg.cl.past twist to break stick  
'Uya broke the stick'

#### The Verb *tùàk`* 'hit to break'

The verb *tùàk`* 'hit to break' selects objects with the inherent semantic features: [+breakable], [-snappable], [- breakable into parts], [+thin object], [-can be hit with leg/hand as instrument], [+can be hit against object], and [+ can be with finger/toe]. Examples are shown in (3) and (4).

- (3) àyí` àgá` tùàk` nínù`òk`kù`  
3sg. 3sgcl.past hit to break toe  
'S/he broke his toe'
- (4) ùyà` àgá` tùàk` núòb`òk`  
Uya 3sg.cl.past hit to break stick  
'Uya broke the stick'

Uya 3sgcl.past hit to break finger  
 'Uya broke her finger'

*The Verb núák 'dislocate to break'*

The verb núák 'dislocate to break' selects noun phrase object with the inherent semantic features: [+breakable], [+snappable], [-breakable into pieces], [-thin object], [-hittable with hand/leg as instrument], [+hittable against object], and [-hittable with finger/toe] as the example in (5) shows.

- (5) `ayí àgá núák áfrá  
 3sg. 3sgcl.past dislocate to break elbow  
 'S/he broke his elbow'

*The Verb núán 'smash to break'*

The verb núán 'smash to break' selects a object with the inherent semantic features: [+breakable], [-snappable], [+breakable into pieces], [+thin object], [+can be hit with hand/leg as instrument], [+can be hit against object], and [-can be hit with finger or toe]. An example is given in (6).

- (6) ùyà àgá núán úkídísó  
 Uya 3sgcl.past smash to break mirror  
 'Uya broke the mirror'

Sentences (1) to (6) are semantically and syntactically well formed in Ebughu because the verbs in the sentences have co-occurred with noun objects whose semantic/syntactic features are compatible with those of the verbs. Considering this, we can rightly account for why the following sentences (7-12) are semantically deviant.

- (7) \*òyèbíó àgá núán ògòk átìtìè  
 wind cl.past smash to break branch tree  
 (8) \*ùya agá wàk úkídísó  
 Uya 3sg.cl.past tear to break mirror  
 (9) \*àyí ògò bún áfrá  
 3sg 3sgcl.past twist to break elbow  
 (10) \*ùya àgá núák ípé  
 Uya 3sgcl.past dislocate break stick  
 (11) \*àyí àgá tuàk ògòk átìtìè  
 (12) \*ùya àgá tuàk ipán  
 Uya 3sgcl.past hit to break spoon

In (7-12), sentence (7) is considered deviant because the verb núán 'smash to break' whose semantics also implicates breaking of a thin object into pieces using the hand or leg as instrument, has co-occurred with ògòk 'branch' a noun which, by its inherent semantic feature cannot be caused to break into pieces using the hand or leg as instrument. Sentence (8) is also semantically deviant because wàk 'tear to break' inherently implicates a semantics of breaking by snapping into two (and not into pieces) a thin object that cannot be broken by the leg or hand. Therefore, it cannot take úkídísó 'mirror' whose semantic features differ completely. Sentence (9) is semantically deviant because bún 'twist to break' can only select a noun which is 'thin' and can be broken into parts using the hand or leg as instrument; áfrá 'elbow' does not have these features. Sentence (10) is semantically deviant too since núák 'dislocate to break' can only select a noun which though, can be snapped into two but cannot be broken into pieces; ípé 'stick' does not possess this semantic features unlike áfrá 'elbow' which does. The semantic deviance in sentence (11) is due to fact that the verb tuàk 'hit to break' has co-occurred with the noun átìtìè 'branch'. However, since tuàk 'hit' involves the breaking of a finger or toe by hitting it against an object, átìtìè is not appropriate. Sentence (12) is also a semantically deviant because of the reasons also given for (11); tuàk 'hit to break' and ipán 'spoon' cannot co-occur.

#### B. The Buy Cluster

In this cluster, we have identified three verbs: líé 'buy', bògò 'buy by fetching', and pègè 'buy by cutting'. The verb líé 'buy' is a nuclear since it can select all 'buyable' objects while bògò 'buy by fetching' and pègè 'buy by cutting' can only select some specific noun objects. This cluster is analyzable using the semantic features of [+buyable], [+/- can buy any object], [+/- liquid], and [+/- can buy cloth] and discussed below is a selectional restriction analysis of the cluster.

*The Nuclear Verb líé 'buy'*

The nuclear verb líé 'buy' co-occurs with a noun object with the semantic feature of being a [+buyable object] as the following examples show.

- (13) ùyà àgá líé nímón

- Uya 3sgcl.past buy water  
 'Uya bought water'
- (14) `ayí àgá líé nímí  
 3sg 3sgcl.past buy drink  
 'S/he bought a drink'
- (15) `ayí àgá líé ádà  
 3sg 3sgcl.past buy oil  
 'S/he bought some oil'
- (16) ùyà àgá líé ọfọnúẓín  
 Uya 3sg cl.past buy cloth  
 'Uya bought a cloth'
- (17) èsú àgá líé m̀bòró  
 Esu 3sgcl.past buy banana  
 'Esu bought a banana'

*The Verb **bogo** 'buy by fetching'*

The verb *bògò* 'buy by fetching' selects a noun object with the semantic features of [+buyable object] and [+liquid] as the following examples show.

- (18) ùmóh ọgọ̀ bọ̀gọ̀ nímọ̀n  
 Umoh 3sgcl.past fetch to buy water  
 'Umo bought water'.
- (19) `ayí ọgọ̀ bọ̀gọ̀ nímí  
 3sg 3sgcl.past fetch to buy drink  
 'S/he bought a drink'
- (20) `ayí ọgọ̀ bọ̀gọ̀ ádà  
 3sg. 3sgcl. past fetch to buy oil  
 'S/he bought some oil'

*The Verb **pègè** 'buy by cutting'*

The verb *pègè* 'buy by cutting' selects a noun object with the semantic features of [+buyable object] and [+ cloth] as the following examples show.

- (21) àsúkwọ̀ ègé pègè ọfọnúẓín  
 Asukwo 3sgcl.past cut to buy dress  
 'Asukwo bought a dress'
- (22) ùmóh ègé pègè ọfọ̀n  
 Umo 3sgcl.past cut to buy wrapper  
 'Umo bought a wrapper'
- (23) ùyà ègé pègè ọfọ̀núkò  
 Uya 3sgcl.past fetch to buy cloth  
 'Uya bought a cloth (material)'

The sentences provided above (13-23) are semantically well formed. Sentences (13) to (17) clearly show that *líé* 'buy' is the nuclear verb of the 'buy' cluster and can select any noun object for co-occurrence while the verbs *bògò* 'fetch to buy' and *pègè* 'cut to buy' select semantically compatible 'buyable' noun objects. A violation of the semantic/selectional restriction of the verbs will result in deviant structures as shown in (13-23) below.

- (24) \*ùmóh ọgọ̀ bọ̀gọ̀ ịpán  
 Umóh 3sgcl.past fetch to buy spoon
- (25) \*`ayí ọgọ̀ bọ̀gọ̀ ùsìè  
 3sg 3sgcl.past fetch to buy plate
- (26) \*`ayí ọgọ̀ bọ̀gọ̀ ọfọnúẓín  
 3sg 3sgcl.past fetch to buy dress'
- (27) \*ùmóh ègé pègè nímọ̀n  
 Umóh 3sgcl.past cut to buy water
- (28) \*`ayí ègé pègè nímí  
 3sg 3sgcl.past cut to buy drink
- (29) \*`ayí ègé pègè ádà  
 3sg 3sgcl.past cut to buy oil

The semantic deviance in (24) to (26) for instance, is due to the fact that the nouns *ìpán* ‘spoon’, *ùsìè* ‘plate’, and *òfònúžìn* ‘dress’ do not possess the semantic qualities of [+liquid] which is semantically and inherently implicated in the verb *bògò* ‘fetch to buy’ despite the fact that they meet the other features required in this cluster. Sentences (27) to (29) are also deviant structures. This is because the verb *pègè* ‘cut to buy’ cannot co-occur with the nouns *nímón* ‘water’, *nímí* ‘drink’, and *ádà* ‘oil’ which are [+liquid] despite the fact that they are [+buyable] as *pègè* can only select a noun object with the feature [+cloth] among other semantic requirements it can admit.

### C. The Carry Cluster

In the carry cluster, no nuclear verb is yet identified. The verbs *bé* ‘pick to carry’, *riàghá* ‘lift to carry’, and *wèi* ‘strap on the back to carry’ have been identified in this paper as separate variants and each selects for co-occurrence an appropriate “carriable” noun object. The weight and shape of the noun object selected is also of semantic significance. The semantic features required in this cluster are: [+carriable], [+/- light noun object], [+/- portable], [+/- effort required], [+/- care required], [+/- animate], and [+/- strappable on the back of some entity]. A semantic analysis of the members of this cluster is shown below.

#### The Verb *bé* ‘pick to carry’

The verb *bé* ‘carry by picking’ requires co-occurring with a noun object with the semantic features of [+carriable], [+light object], [+portable], [-effort required], [-care required], [-animate], and [-carriable the back of some entity] as the following examples show.

- (30) *ùmóh àgè bé ñwèd*  
Umoh 3sgcl.past pick to carry book  
‘Umoh picked the book’
- (31) *`áyí àgè bé ípéúkùò*  
3sg. 3sgcl.past pick to carry shoe  
‘He picked the shoe’
- (32) *`amí mǐgí bé ǐtám*  
1sg. 1sgcl.past pick to carry cap  
‘I picked the cap’

#### The Verb *riàghá* ‘lift to carry’

The Verb *riàghá* ‘lift to carry’ selects an object with the semantic of features of [+carriable], [-light object], [+effort required], [-portable], [-care required], [-animate], and [-carriable on the back] as the following examples show.

- (33) *àsúkwó àgá riàghá útái*  
Asukwo 3sgcl.past lift to carry stone  
‘Asukwo carried the stone’
- (34) *`amí nígí riàghá úsien*  
1sg. 1sgcl.past lift to carry pot  
‘I carried the pot’
- (35) *èsú àgá riàghá òkùò*  
Esu 3sgcl.past lift to carry box  
‘Esu carried the box’

#### The Verb *wèi* ‘strap on the back to carry’

The Verb *wèi* ‘strap on the back to carry’ requires to co-occur with a following noun object with the semantic features of [+carriable], [+light object], [+portable], [-effort required], [+care required], [+animate], and [+carriable on the back of an entity] as the example shows below.

- (36) *úsò àgè wèi óyó (ké dé)*  
Uso 3sg cl.past strap on the back to carry child (on back)  
‘Uso carried the child (on the back)’.

Sentences (30) to (36) are all semantically well formed. They have also clearly illustrated the fact that the verbs in the carry cluster have co-occurred with the appropriate object nouns that can co-occur with them and any contrary selection disregarding the inherent semantic qualities of the nouns which the verbs select will result in deviance as shown in the following examples.

- (37) *\*ùmóh ágè wèi ñwèd*  
Umoh 3sgcl.past strap on the back to carry book
- (38) *\*ùmóh àgá riàghá óyó*  
Umoh 3sgcl.past lift to carry child
- (39) *\*àsúkwó àgè bé útái*

- (40) Àsúkwó 3sgcl.past pick to carry stone  
 \*Àsúkwó ágé wèí úsíén  
 Àsúkwó 3sgcl.past strap on the back to carry pot

Sentences (37) and (40) are semantically deviant because the verb *wèí* 'strap on the back to carry' which among other features requires [+animate] object has co-occurred with *ńwèd* 'book' and *úsíén* 'pot' which are [-animate] noun objects. Also, sentence (38) is considered a deviant structure because the verb *řàghà* 'lift to carry' which requires among other features the [-animate] and [-care required] has co-occurred with *óyó* 'child' which has both [+animate] and [+care required] features while the deviance in sentence (39) is due to the fact that the verb *bé* 'pick to carry' has co-occurred with *útúí* 'stone' whose features include [+effort required] and [-light object] features whereas *bé* 'pick to carry' inherently implicates [-effort required] and [+light object]. It is important to note however that there is the possibility of co-occurrence between the verb *bé* 'pick to carry' and the noun *óyó* 'child' with the requirement that the prepositional phrase, *kè dè* will not complement the noun object as in (36). Thus, a sentence like the one in (41) is semantically well formed while (42) is not well formed.

- (41) ùmóh ágé bé óyó  
 Umoh 3sgcl.past pick to carry child  
 'Umoh carried the child.'
- (42)\* umo ágé bé óyó kè dè  
 Umo 3sgcl.past pick to carry child Prep. back  
 'Umoh carried the child on the back:'

#### D. The Cut Cluster

The 'cut' cluster in Ebughu has a nuclear, *pégé* 'cut', which can select any noun object that can be cut while its variants, *kwáí* 'cut by peeling', *bàk* 'cut by slaughtering', *jók* 'cut by slicing', and *siák* 'cut by splitting'. The semantic features which are required in the analysis of this cluster are: [+can be cut], [+/- plant], [+/- leafy], [+/- animate], [+/- effort required], [+/- sliceable], and [+/- can be cut into parts]. The semantic analysis of members of the cluster is provided below.

##### The Verb *pégé* 'cut'

The verb *pégé* requires to co- occur with a noun object whose semantic include feature of [+can be cut]. Examples are given below.

- (43) `ásúkwó égé pégé òzógòrò  
 Asukwo 3sgcl.past cut orange  
 'Asukwo cut the orange'
- (44) `amí nígím pégé égbó  
 1sg. 1sgcl.past cut goat  
 'I cut the goat'
- (45) `ayí égé pégé mífàn  
 3sg. 3sgcl.past cut vegetables  
 'S/he cut the vegetables'
- (46) `ásúkwó égé pégé ífiá  
 asukwo 3sgcl.past cut firewood  
 'Asukwo cut the firewood'

##### The Verb *kwáí* 'peel to cut'

The verb *kwáí* has to co-occur with a noun object with the features of [+can be cut], [+plant], [-leafy], [-animate], [-effort required], [-sliceable] and [- can be cut into parts] as the following examples.

- (47) `ásúkwó ágá kwáí òzógòrò  
 Asukwo 3sgcl.past peel to cut orange  
 'Asukwo cut the orange by peeling it'
- (48) ùmóh ágá kwáí òbòno  
 Umoh 3sgcl.past peel to cut pawpaw  
 'Umoh cut the cocoyam' by peeling it
- (49) úyà ágá kwáí ípón.  
 Uya 3sgcl.past peel to cut cocoyam  
 'Uya cut the coco yam' by peeling it

##### The Verb *bàk* 'slaughter to cut'

This member of the cut cluster requires co-occurring with a noun object with semantic features of [+cutable], [+animate], [+effort], [-plant], [-leafy], [-sliceable] and [+can be cut into parts] as the examples below show.

- (50) ámí mǐ́ bák égbó  
1sg. 1sgcl.past slaughter to cut goat  
'I cut the goat'
- (51) `ayí ágá bák únìèn  
3sg. 3sgcl.past slaughter to cut chicken  
'S/he cut the chicken'
- (52) `ayí ágá bák ónàn  
3sg. 3sgcl.past slaughter to cut cow  
'S/he cut the cow'

*The Verb jók 'slice to cut'*

The verb *jók* 'slice to cut' requires co-occurring with a noun object with the semantic features: [+can be cut], [+plant], [+leafy], [-animate], [-effort required], [+sliceable], and [+can be cut into parts] as the following examples illustrate.

- (53) `ayí ógó jók mífàn.  
3sg. 3sgcl.past slice to cut vegetables  
'S/he cut the vegetables'
- (54) `asúkwo ógó jók àlibàsà  
Asukwo 3sgcl.past slice to cut onions  
'Asukwo cut the onions'

*The Verb síák 'split to cut'*

This occurs with a noun object with the semantic features: [+can be cut], [-plant], [-leafy], [-animate], [+effort required], [-sliceable], and [+can be cut into parts] as the following example shows.

- (55) `asúkwo ágá síák ífíá  
Asukwo 3sgcl.past split to cut firewood  
Asukwo cut the firewood

Sentences (43) to (55) clearly indicate that the verbs in the 'cut' cluster select nouns which correspond semantically to the inherent semantic features of the verbs themselves. Thus, if the selectional restriction rule in this cluster is violated, the sentences listed in (43) to (55) will become deviant structures as the following examples show.

- (56) \*`asúkwo ágá bák òzógòrò  
'Asukwo 3sgcl.past slaughter to cut orange
- (57) \*`umoh ágá bák òbòñò  
'Umoh 3sgcl.past slaughter to cut the pawpaw
- (58) \*`amí mǐ́ kwáí égbó  
1sg 1sgcl.past peel to cut goat
- (59) \*`ayí ágá kwáí únìèn  
3sg 3sgcl.past peel to cut chicken
- (60) \*`ayí ágá síák mífàn.  
3sg. 3sgcl.past split to cut vegetables
- (61) \*`asúkwo ágá síák òzógòrò  
Asukwo 3sgcl.past split to cut orange
- (62) \*`ayí ógó jók ífíá  
3sg 3sgcl.past slice to cut firewood
- (63) \*`asúkwo ágá kwáí mífàn  
Asukwo 3sgcl.past peel to cut vegetables
- (64) \*`uýà ágá bák ípón  
Uya 3sgcl.past slaughter to cut cocoyam

The semantic deviance observed in sentences (56) to (64) is obviously traceable to a violation of selectional restriction. Sentences (56), (57), and (64) for instance have the verb *bák* 'slaughter to cut' co-occurring with *òzógòrò* 'orange', *òbòñò* 'pawpaw', and *ípón* 'cocoyam' and these have both [-animate] and [-effort required] semantic features while *bák* 'slaughter to cut' has both [+animate] and [+effort required] features. Sentences (58) and (59), on the other hand are deviant because the verb *kwáí* 'peel to cut' which has the semantic implications of [+plant], [-animate], and [-effort required] has co-occurred with the nouns; *égbó* 'goat' and *únìèn* 'chicken' which have opposing semantic features of [-plant], [+animate], and [+effort required]. The structure in (63) is also a deviant structure because the subcategorized noun object has [-animate] and [-effort] features which are not compatible with the semantic features of

the verb *kwáí* ‘peel to cut’ as mentioned above. Also, sentences (60) and (61) are deviant structures as the semantic senses ([-plant], [+effort required], and [-sliceable] ) of the verb *siák* ‘split to cut’ are opposed to that of the nouns *òzógòrò* ‘orange’ and *nífàn* ‘vegetables’. The deviance in sentence (62) is also because the verb *jòk* ‘slice to cut’ which has the basic semantic features of [+plant], [+leafy] and

[-effort required] has co-occurred with *íffá* ‘firewood’ which has opposing semantic features of [-plant], [-leafy], and [+effort].

#### E. The Harvest Cluster

In this cluster, no nuclear verb is yet identified. However, four verbs; *bùǒ* ‘dig to harvest’ *tán* ‘pick to harvest’, *kwùò* ‘pluck to harvest’, and *tíé* ‘tap to harvest’ have been identified. The semantic features required for the analysis of this cluster are: [+harvestable] [+/-root/stump crop], [+/-instrument required], [+/-effort required], [+/-uprootable], [+/-fruit], and [+/- can be cut].

##### The verb *bùǒ* ‘dig to harvest’

The verb *bùǒ* ‘dig harvest’ requires to co-occur with noun objects whose semantic features must include [+harvestable], [+root/stump crop], [+instrument required], [+effort required], [+uprootable], [-fruit], and [-can be cut]. The following illustrate the verb in sentences.

- (63) ùyá ògǒ      bùǒ      ìgbé  
Uya 3sgcl.pst harvest cassava  
‘Uya harvested the cassava’

- (64) àsúkwǒ ògǒ      bùǒ      èbrè.  
Asukwo 3sgcl.past harvest yam  
‘Asukwo harvested the yam’

##### The verb *tán* ‘pick to harvest’

This verb requires to co-occur with noun objects whose semantic features must include [+harvestable], [+uprootable], [-instrument required], [-root/stump crop], [-fruit], and [- can be cut] as shown in the following sentences (64-65).

- (64) úyà ágá      tán      ìgòn  
Uya 3sgcl.past harvest melon  
‘Uya harvested the melon’

- (65) àsúkwǒ ágá      tán      údídíp  
Asukwo 3sgcl.past harvest mushroom  
‘Asukwo harvested the mushrooms’

##### The Verb *kwùò* ‘pick to harvest’

This verb needs to co-occur with noun objects whose semantic features are [+harvestable], [+fruit], [-root/stump crop] [+instrument required], and [-can be cut] as the following examples show.

- (66) úyà ògǒ      kwùò      òzógòrò  
Uya 3sgcl.past harvest orange  
‘Uya harvested some orange’

- (67) asukwo ògǒ      kwùò      ógwòébé  
Asukwo 3sgcl.past harvest pear  
‘Asukwo harvested some pears’

##### The verb *tíé* ‘cut to harvest’

This verb must co-occur with noun objects with the following features: [+harvestable], [+effort required], [+instrument required], [-root/stump crop], [-uprootable], [+fruit], and [+can be cut]. Some examples involving this verb are given below:

- (68) ùbông ágé      tíé      ájìè.  
Ubong 3sgcl.past harvest palmfruit  
‘Ubong harvested the palmfruits’

Sentences (63) to (68) as shown above are semantically well formed since the verbs have selected appropriate noun object to co-occur with and a violation of this selection restriction rule will result in deviant sentences as shown below in (70-77).

- (70) \*ùyà ágé      tíé      ìgòn  
Uya 3sgcl.past cut to harvest melon  
(71) \*ùbông ágé      tíé      ìgbé  
Ubong 3sgcl.past cut to harvest cassava  
(72) \*ùbông ágá      tán      ájìè  
Ubong 3sgcl.past pick to harvest palmfruit



- (73) \*úyà ágá tán òzógòrò  
 Uya 3sgcl.past pick to harvest orange  
 (74) \*àsúkwò' ògò kwùó èbrè  
 Asukwo 3sgcl.past pluck to harvest yam  
 (75) \*àsúkwò ògò kwùó ípón  
 Asukwo 3sgcl.past pluck to harvest cocoyam  
 (76) \*úyà ògò bùò ógwóébè  
 Uya 3sgcl.past dig to harvest pear  
 (77) \*Ubong ògò bùò nímónmóníkòn  
 Ubong 3sgcl.past dig to harvest waterleaf

The deviance observed in sentences (70) to (77) is due to the violation of selectional restriction. Sentences (70) and (71) for instance, are semantically not well-formed because the verb *tíé* 'cut to harvest' whose inherent semantic implication includes [+can be cut] and [+fruit] features has co-occurred with the nouns *ígòn* 'melon' and *ìgbé* 'cassava' whose semantic features are [-can be cut] and [-fruit]. Similarly, in sentences (72) and (73) the co-occurrence between the nouns *ájìè* 'palm fruit' and *òzógòrò* 'orange' with the verb *tán* 'pick to harvest' leads to semantic oddity since the inherent semantic features in both *ájìè* 'palm fruit' and *òzógòrò* 'orange' which include [+fruit] and [+instrument required] do not match with the semantic implications of the verb *tán* 'pick to harvest' whose features include [-fruit] and [-instrument required]. Also, the deviance observed in sentences (74) and (75) stems from the co-occurrence of the verb *kwùó* 'pluck to harvest' with the nouns *èbrè* 'yam' and *ípón* 'cocoyam'. Since the verb *kwùó* 'pluck to harvest' has the semantic features [+fruit] and [-can be cut] while *èbrè* 'yam' and *ípón* 'cassava' both have the features [-fruit] and [-can be cut], the co-occurrence incompatibility between them results to the semantic deviance. In the same vein, sentences (76) and (77) are deviant because the verb *bùò* 'dig to harvest' whose semantic implications involve [+effort required] and [+root/stump crop] features has co-occurred the nouns *ógwóébè* 'pear' and *nímónmóníkòn* 'waterleaf' whose semantic features are [-effort required] and [-root/stump crop].

#### F. The Cover Cluster

No nuclear verb has been identified in this cluster. The verbs *fùk* 'put on the head to cover', *dòno* 'put on the feet to cover', *wán* 'tie to cover', and *gíné* 'hang to cover' have been identified in this cluster and each selects a different type of object to co-occur with. The features relevant for the analysis of this cluster are [+can be put on], [+/-coverable], [+/-wearable on head], [+/-wearable on the feet], [+/-wrappable round], [+/-bead/ornamental]. Examples of the verbs of this cluster in sentences as discussed below.

##### The Verb *fùk* 'put on the head to cover'

This verb must co-occur with a noun object with the semantic features: [+wearable], [+coverable], [+wearable on the head] as shown in the following sentence.

- (78) nímà fùk ìtàm  
 1sgcl.future put on the head to cover cap  
 'I will put on a cap'

##### The Verb *dòno* 'put on the feet to cover'

The verb *dòno* 'wear to put on' needs to co-occur with a noun object with the semantic features [+noun], [+wearable], [-bead/ornamental] and [+wearable on feet] as shown in (79).

- (79) àsúkwò ògò dòno ípéúkùò  
 Asukwo 3sgcl.pst put on the feet to cover shoe  
 'Asukwo wore a shoe'

##### The Verb *wán* 'tie to cover'

The verb *wán* 'tie to cover' must co-occur with noun objects with the semantic features of [+can be put on], [+coverable], [-can be put on the head], [-can be put on the feet], [-bead/ornamental], and [+wrappable round] as the example below shows.

- (80) `amì nínín wán òfòn  
 1sg 3sgclcl.past tie to cover wrapper  
 'I tied a wrapper'

##### The Verb *gíné* 'hang to cover'

The verb *gíné* 'hang on the neck to cover' must co-occur with a noun object with the semantic features: [-wearable], [-cover], and [+bead/ornamental] as the following example shows.

- (81) uya àgé gíné nígwa

Uya 3sgcl.past put to cover beads  
 'Uya wore some beads'.

From sentences (78) to (81), it is observable that the verbs of the 'cover' cluster are very restrictive in their selection of accompanying object nouns. Thus, the following sentences are not well-formed because of the violation of the verb-noun selectional restriction rules of members of the cluster.

- (82) \*nɪmá dònɔ ɪtàm  
 1sg.future put on the feet to cover cap  
 (83) \*àmɪ nɪɲín wán nɪgwà  
 1sg 1sgcl.future tie to cover beads/ornaments  
 (84) \*asúkwo ɔ̀gɔ́ fùk ípéúkùò  
 Asukwo 3sgcl.past put on the head to cover shoe  
 (85) \*uya àgɛ́ gíné ɔ̀fɔ̀n  
 Uya 3sgcl.past hang on the neck to cover wrapper

The deviance observed in sentence (82) is due to the fact that the verb *dònɔ* 'put on the feet to cover' which has the semantic features, [+wearable on feet] has co-occurred with the noun *ɪtàm* 'cap' whose semantic features implicates [+wearable on the head]. Sentence (83) is also a deviant structure because the noun *nɪgwà* 'beads/ornaments' has co-occurred with the verb *wán* 'tie to cover' which has the features [-bead/ornamental] and thus, contrasts with the semantic features of the noun object it has co-occurred with. Also, sentence (84) is semantically deviant because the semantic features of the verb *fùk* 'put on the head to cover' which includes [+wearable on the head] contrasts with that of the noun *ípéúkùò* 'shoe' which is [+wearable on the feet]. The semantic deviance noted in sentence (85) is as a result of the fact that the verb *gíné* 'hang on the neck to cover' whose semantic implications include [+bead/ornamental] has co-occurred with the noun *ɔ̀fɔ̀n* 'wrapper' whose semantic implication includes [-bead/ornamental]. It is however, worthy to note here that in Ebughu, the object *ɔ̀fɔ̀n* which can mean wrapper, dress or a cloth (material) depending on the context in which it is used, can be selected by the verb *dónó* to co-occur with in which case the construction will be of the form in (86).

- (86) ùmôh ɔ̀gɔ́ dònɔ ɔ̀fɔ̀n  
 Umoh 3sgcl.past put on the feet to cover dress  
 'Umoh put on a cloth (around his feet)'

#### G. The Open Cluster

Two verbs have been identified in this cluster without any nuclear verb yet. The verbs are *síé* 'uncover to open' and *kwùɲɔ́* 'shift to open'. The semantic features; [+noun], [+openable], [+/-hand/any object required as instrument], [+/-ease], and [+/-light object] are necessary for the analysis of this cluster

##### The Verb *síé* 'uncork to open'

The verb *síé* 'uncork to open' requires to co-occur with a noun object whose semantic features include [+openable], [+hand/any object as required as instrument], [+ ease], and [+light object] as the following examples show.

- (87) ùyá àgɛ́ síé nímí  
 Uya 3sgcl.past uncover to open drink  
 'Uya opened the drink'  
 (88) ùmôh àgɛ́ síé úsìè  
 Umoh 3sgcl.past uncover to open pot  
 'Umoh opened the pot'  
 (89) `áyí àgɛ́ síé ɔ̀mú  
 3sg. 3sgcl.past uncover to open cup  
 'He opened the cup'

##### The Verb *kwùɲɔ́* 'uncover to open'

The verb *kwùɲɔ́* 'shift to open' necessarily co-occurs with a noun object whose semantics implicates [+openable], [+/- hand required as instrument], [- ease], and [+/- light object] as the following examples show,

- (90) `asúkwo ɔ̀gɔ́ kwùɲɔ́ áríghè  
 Asukwo 3sgcl.past shift to open door  
 'Asukwo opened the door'  
 (91) ùmôh ɔ̀gɔ́ kwùɲɔ́ m̀pòizàn  
 Umoh 3sgcl.past shift to open car  
 'Umoh opened the car'

From the examples above (87) to (91) the pattern of the verb- object noun selectional restriction in the open cluster is shown. Again, when this rule of verb-noun selectional restriction in the 'open' cluster is violated, semantically ill-formed sentences will result as shown below.

- (92) \**ùya ògò kwùnò míí*  
Uya 3sgcl.past shift to open drink
- (93) \**ayí àgé kwùnò òmù*  
3sg 3sgcl.past shift to open cup
- (94) \**àsúkwò àgé síé àrìghè*  
Asukwo 3sgcl.past uncover to open door
- (95) \**umòh àgé síé m̀pòizàn*  
Umoh 3sgcl.past uncover to opened car

The semantic deviance in (92) and (93) is due to the fact that the verb *kwùnò* can only select a noun whose inherent semantic features agree with its semantic features: [-ease] and [-light object]. However, in this case, the nouns *míí* 'drink' and *òmù* 'cup' both inherently implicate [+ease] and [+light object] semantic features thus, making their co-occurrence with *kwùnò* unacceptable. Also the deviance in sentences (94) and (95) has arisen because the verb *síé* 'uncover to open' has the semantic features of [+ease] and [+light object] while the nouns *àrìghè* 'door' and *m̀pòizàn* 'car' have the semantic features of [-ease] and [-light object] hence, the incompatibility in their co-occurrence.

#### H. The "Hold" Cluster

In this cluster, no nuclear verb is identified yet but each verb in this cluster selects appropriate noun objects that it can co-occur with it. The verbs *mó* 'grab to hold' *gbé* 'pack to hold' and *gà* 'grip to hold' are here identified as members of this cluster. The semantic features necessary for the analysis of this cluster are [+holdable], [+/-light object], [+/-holdable in between objects], [+/-animate], and [+/-countable]. The verbs of this cluster are briefly discussed below.

##### The verb *mó* 'grab to hold'

The verb *mó* 'grab to hold' must co-occur with a noun object that must have the semantic features of [+holdable], [+light object], [+countable], and [+animate] as the following examples show.

- (96) *umòh ògò mó óyò*  
Umoh 3sgcl.past grab to hold child  
'Umoh held the child'
- (97) *àsúkwò ògò mó nsán 'zìgé*  
Asukwo 3sgcl.past grab to hold friend his  
'Asukwo held his friend'

##### The Verb *gbé* 'pack to hold'

The verb *gbé* 'pack to hold' requires to co-occur with noun objects whose semantic features must be [+holdable], [+light object], [+in between object], [-animate], and [-countable] as shown below.

- (98) *umòh àgé gbé ndítíé*  
Umoh 3sgcl.past pack to hold sand  
'Umoh held some sand'
- (99) *àsúkwò àgé gbé ányínyáná*  
Asukwo 3sgcl.past grab to hold broomsticks  
'Asukwo held some broomsticks'

##### The Verb *gà* 'grip to hold'

The noun object of the verb *gà* 'grip to hold' must be [+holdable], [+light object], [+holdable in between objects] and [+countable] as shown below

- (100) *àmì ngín gà nwèd*  
1sg 3sgcl.past grip to hold book  
'I held a book'

In the hold cluster, it has also been noticed that there exist a strong verb-noun object selectional rule as the verbs in this cluster must select appropriate nouns that meet their semantic requirement for co-occurrence. A violation of the verb-noun selectional rule in this cluster will also result in having deviant constructions as shown below:

- (101) \**umoh ògò mò ányínyáná*  
Umoh 3sgcl.past grab to hold broomsticks
- (102) \**àsúkwò àgé gbé óyó*  
Asukwo 3sgcl.past pack to hold child
- (103) \**àmì ngín gà ndítíé*

- 3sg 3sgcl.past grip to hold sand  
 (104) \*Umoh àgé gà àfiyà  
 Umoh 3sgcl.past grip to hold crayfish

The verb *mò* ‘grab to hold’ in (101) whose semantics implicates [+animate] and [+countable] has co-occurred with the noun *ányínyáná* ‘broomsticks’ whose semantic features include [-animate] and [-countable] thus causing the construction to be semantically deviant. In sentence (102), the verb *gbé* ‘pack’ with the features [-animate] and [-countable] has co-occurred with the noun *óyó* ‘child’ which has [+animate] and [+countable] features and because of this co-occurrence, the resulting construction is semantically deviant. Also, the deviant status of sentences (103) and (104) stems from the fact that the verb *gà* ‘grip’ whose semantics implicates [+countable] has co-occurred with the nouns *ndíté* ‘sand’ and *àfiyà* ‘crayfish’ which share the semantic feature of [-countable].

### III. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Thus far we have analyzed the verb-noun object selectional restriction in Ebughu and this has been done by selecting some Ebughu verbs which we have grouped into eight verb clusters. The condition as well as the constraints which are imposed on a particular verb in the verb clusters in terms of the environment in which it must occur is stated with reference to the relevant semantic features of its accompanying noun object. Both the verb and its object must occur in the same minimal clause and the effects of selection are intrinsically connected the meaning of the verb since the verb plays a very crucial role in determining the kind of subject and object that it co-occurs with (Ndimele, 1999). It has been observed that some verb clusters in Ebughu have fewer verbs than others. For instance, the open cluster has just two verbs which are *síé* ‘uncork to open’ and *kwùno* ‘uncover to open’ which also differ in their selection of noun objects; a violation of which will result in semantic deviance. This shows that verb-noun object selectional restriction phenomenon in Ebughu is not accidental but a clear case of semantic requirements of co-occurrence possibilities between verbs and nouns in the language.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Anyanwu, O. N. and Iloene, M.I. (2003). Verbs of Planting and Harvesting in Igbo: The Ngwa and Obimo Dialects Example. In Ndimele, O-M. (ed.). *Language and Culture in Nigeria: A festschrift for Okon Essien*. Aba: National Institute for Nigerian Languages.
- [2] Anoka, G. (1983). Selectional restrictions: verb “to buy”. In P. A. Nwachukwu (ed.) *Readings on the Igbo verbs* Onitsha: Africana Feb. Publishers.
- [3] Brown, E. and J. Miller, (1985). *Syntax: a linguistic introduction to sentence structure*. London: Hutchinson.
- [4] Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the theory of syntax*. Massachusetts: The M. I. J. Press.
- [5] Crystal, D. (1997). *A dictionary of linguistics and phonetics*, 4<sup>th</sup> edition. Oxford: Blackwell.
- [6] Emenanjo, E. (1975). *The Igbo verbal: a descriptive analysis*. Unpublished M. A. Dissertation, University of Ibadan, Ibadan
- [7] Katz, J. (1966). *The philosophy of language*. New York: Harper and Row Publishers.
- [8] Lyons, J. (1968). *Introduction to theoretical linguistics*. Cambridge: Cambridge Press Ltd.
- [9] Ndimele, O. (1999). *A first course on morphology and syntax*. Port Harcourt: M&J Grand Orbit Communications Ltd, and Emhai.
- [10] Ndimele, O. (1997). *Semantics and the frontiers of communication*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Port Harcourt: University of Port Harcourt Press Ltd.
- [11] Oweleke, E. (1995). *Verb-noun selectional restriction in Igbouzo-Igbo*. Unpublished M. A. Thesis, University of Port Harcourt.
- [12] Pearson, B. (1977). *Introduction to linguistics concept*. New York: Longman Ltd.
- [13] Soehn, J-P. (2005). Selectional restriction in HPSG: I’ll eat my hat! Proceedings of the HPSG 2005 Conference, Department of Informatics, University of Lisbon.
- [14] Trask, R. (1993). *A dictionary of grammatical terms in linguistics*. London & New York: Routledge.
- [15] Umeasiegbu, T. (1979). A semantic approach to the Igbo verbs meaning “to buy”. *Kiabara, Journal of humanities*, University of Port Harcourt. 2:127-138.
- [16] Urua, E. (2000). *Ibibio phonetics and phonology*. Centre for Advanced Studies of African Society (CASAS) Cape Town, South Africa.
- [17] Yule, G. (2006). *The study of language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

**Christie Omego** is Senior Lecturer in the Department of Linguistics and Communication Studies, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. She obtained her MA and PhD degrees from the University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Her areas of Research interests include Semantics, Applied Linguistics, Lexicography and Communication Studies. She has also published widely in her area of specialization.

**Ogbonna Anyanwu** is a Senior Lecturer at the Department of Linguistics and Nigerian Languages, University of Uyo, Nigeria. He obtained his MA and PhD degrees from the University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. His research interests include phonology, morphology, Igbo syntax and the development of non-major Nigerian languages. He has published mainly in these areas.

**Adimchinobi Onyegbuchulam** is a former student of the Department of Linguistics and Nigerian Languages, University of Uyo. His research interests include Syntax and Semantics.