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Abstract—Though usually seen as just marginally related to the key academic goals of establishing claims and reputations, acknowledgement as a genre is widely employed in academic discourse to express gratitude for the contribution of an individual or an institution so that writers establish a favorable academic and social position. Having considered the significance of acknowledgment texts in academic writing and the fact that little, if any, has been devoted to highlight dissertation acknowledgments in the Iranian academic context, the current study, adopting Arundale’s face theory, examined the politeness strategies of 70 doctoral dissertation acknowledgments written by native speakers of Persian (henceforth NSP) and native speakers of English (hereafter NSE) in 7 disciplines representing soft sciences. The findings revealed that approximately majority of communicative moves and linguistic steps exploited by the two groups function as connection face except for cases in which writers accept the responsibility of possible errors and weaknesses of the dissertation. Of course, Persian writers, due to their cultural background, used this step more than English ones. To sum up, the study provided valuable information about the socio-cultural practices and personal identity of the writer encoded in the organizational components of this type of genre.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Undoubtedly, writing a dissertation is a difficult task. It requires not only days and nights of allocated time of the writer, but also the assistance and encouragement of the other people, who will all be with him/her in both academic and moral realms. So, acknowledgments in dissertations, as argued by Hyland (2004), allow students to demonstrate their awareness of central academic values such as modesty and gratitude, establish their credibility, recognize debts, and achieve a sense of closure at the end of what is often a long and demanding research process (p. 304).

A dissertation acknowledgment, defined as a "Cinderella" genre (Hyland 2003, p. 243) which is "neither strictly academic nor entirely personal" seems to belong to the institutional group, however, constituting a peculiar genre of its own. The writers of these genres have attempted to produce texts that plausibly reflect interaction with different audiences in terms of exchange of materials, information, support, and advice. Taking genre analysis as the study of how language is used in a particular socio-cultural context, the attention of text analysts has therefore turned to examining the textual and generic organization components as well as the socio-cultural interactive features that writers draw on to engage their audience to establish and maintain a successful writer-reader relationship.

Acknowledgment as a genre is widely used in academic discourse to express gratitude toward help from and contribution of an individual or an institution, thus establishing a favorable academic and social reputation. Acknowledgments have been of some interest to genre analysts such as Giannoni (2002), Hyland (2003, 2004), and Hyland and Tse (2004).

The acknowledgment sections are, thus, short but important pieces of text. They orient the reader to what the student has done as well as where the student is placed in various scholarly and social networks. These seemingly simple texts need as much attention as other parts of student’s text. Like the table of contents, the acknowledgment sections are often the last thing a student writes, but among the first things an examiner reads (Paltridge & Starfield, 2007). As Finn (2005, p. 118) argues, "first impressions last" in the examination process. Clear and well-written acknowledgment sections can help make that first impression a good one.

Acknowledgment sections are now commonplace in academic books and research articles and appear to be almost universal in dissertations, where they offer students a unique rhetorical space to both convey their genuine gratitude for assistance and to promote a capable academic and social identity (Hyland & Tse, 2004, p. 260).

On the other hand, the values and norms dominating cultures have attracted the attention of experts carrying out studies in social sciences, such as sociology, psychology, anthropology and inevitably studies in language which act as the primary tool for communication among people. To this end, it is not surprising to see that in recent years studies in social and interactional aspects of language have gained a significant attention and the number of studies has increased considerably. In this regard, as the studies have started to address specific cultures, it is revealed that the concept of...
appropriate in language differs from culture to culture since different cultures are governed by some relatively
different values and norms and these are reflected by different choices of language patterns in communication
(Eisenstein & Bodman, 1993; Koutlaki, 2002). Thus, considering the importance of acknowledgments which are
sections read first by the ones who were with the PhD students throughout this difficult process and maybe by the ones
wondering the academic and social network of the authors, the current study cross-culturally compares the doctoral
dissertation acknowledgments written by NSP and NSE to unravel cultural differences in expressing gratitude across
two languages.

A. Research Questions

The notion of politeness is shaped by different cultural perceptions. In different situations and contexts, politeness
may be interpreted and evaluated differently in various cultures. Through the use of language, various aspects of the
values and attitudes in a culture and the perception on politeness are manifested. Therefore, as an important
consideration, this study will look into how two diverse cultures, Iranian and American, interpreting the notion of
politeness in dissertation acknowledgment texts will be compared adopting Arundale’s (2006) theory.

Drawing on the above-mentioned developments and tendencies in the field of linguistics, this study addresses the
following questions:
1. In what ways are politeness strategies revealed in the doctoral dissertation acknowledgment texts written by
Persian and English students?
2. Is there any difference in type and frequency of politeness strategies in Persian and English doctoral dissertation
acknowledgment texts?

B. Theoretical Framework

Since 1980s, ‘face’, ‘facework’ and ‘politeness’ notions have been examined a lot by different scholars in the fields
of pragmatics and sociolinguistics. To this aim, various types of theories concerning the imagined notions have been
proposed which most of them were highly abstract directly or indirectly recourse to great variation of social strategies to
construct co-operative social interaction across cultures.

A large number of theoretical and empirical books and articles concerning linguistic politeness and/or the notion of
face and facework have been published in the last decades. In most of the studies, politeness has been conceptualized
especially as strategic conflict-avoidance or as strategic construction of cooperative social interaction (cf. Eelen, 2001, p.
21; Watts, 2003, p. 47).

Politeness features in academic texts have been of growing interest among the experts in the field. There is a growing
body of research that investigates the manifestation of politeness strategies in e-mail exchanges (e.g. Hartford &
computer-mediated discussion (e.g. Erika, 2010; Schallert, Cheng & the D-Team, 2008; Schallert, Chiang, Park, Jordan,
Haekyung, An-Chih, Hsiang-Ning, SoonAh, Taehee & Kwangok, 2009), in prefaces (Meimei, 2001) and in research
articles (Meldrum, 1994; Myers, 1989).

The most known theory of politeness, as asserted by many scholars, is Brown and Levinson’s ground-breaking work
(1978; reissued 1987). According to their seminal work, a speech is polite if one employ the kinds of verbal strategies
which take the addressee’s feelings into account by respecting his or her ‘face’ (Brown and Levinson, 1987, p. 61;
Brown, 1998, p. 84). As argued by Goffman, face involves both the need/desire not to be imposed upon, i.e. negative
face, and the need/desire to be liked or affirmed, i.e. positive face (Goffman, 1967, pp. 5-7). Thus, drawing on
Goffman’s theory, Brown and Levinson (1987) proposed that polite speech encompass all strategies addressing both
types of needs.

Despite its merits, Brown & Levinson’s theory of politeness strategies has also been under severe dispute in the
realm of research on politeness. It has been subject to some serious criticism calling it a more than enough pessimistic
theory of social communication. Among the critics was Nwoye asserting that if we rely on Brown & Levinson theory of
politeness as a true one which holds that social interaction is an activity of continuous mutual monitoring of potential
threats to the faces of the interactants, it could rob social interaction of all elements of pleasure (1992, p. 311). Werkofer
also criticized (1992, p. 156) Brown & Levinson account of politeness as being essentially individualistic
since it, at least during the production of speech, regards the speaker as a rational agent being unconstrained by social
norms and thus, he/she is free to choose egocentric, asocial and aggressive intentions. According to Watt, the other
major critique of Brown & Levinson’s model is freeing the speakers to select in the form of a decision-tree through
which they have to work their way before they can arrive at the appropriate utterances in which to frame the FTA (2003,
p. 88). This type of system excludes the possibility of happening two or more strategies at the same time.

An alternative, but complimentary, view of face that also addresses the criticisms of Brown and Levinson’s theory
examines positive and negative face as a relational rather than individual phenomenon (Arundale 1999, 2006; Bargiela-
Chiapini 2003). As one of the latest re-examinations of face as pertinent to politeness, Arundale (2006) which, contrary
to the Brown and Levinson’s (1987) politeness theory, examines positive and negative face as a relational rather than
individual phenomenon. He argues that positive and negative faces are best addressed as the dialectical opposition
between connection and separation from others:
...connectedness and separateness provides a clear, culture-general conceptualization of "positive" and "negative" face.... As a re-conceptualization of positive face, "connection face" encompasses a range of interpretations much broader than, but inclusive of being "ratified, understood, approved of, liked or admired" by others (Brown and Levinson 1987, p. 62). As a re-conceptualization of negative face, "separation face" encompasses meanings and actions that include among many others, a person's "freedom of action and freedom from imposition" or "claim to territories" (2006, pp. 204-205).

Thus, theoretically, the present study is based in politeness. The notion of "politeness" is a broad and complicated one with numerous theories and approaches, and it is socially determined. It is linked with social differentiations, with making appropriate choices which are not the same for all interlocutors and situations (Coulmas, 2005, p.86). Regarding the importance of politeness strategies in acknowledgment texts as one important part of academic writing and the fact that little attempt has been made to highlight how these strategies are incorporated into these texts, the current study also scrutinizes the politeness strategies in such texts. Thus, the study is revealing as it highlights certain rhetorical characteristics adopted by native writers across English and Persian.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Corpus

To conduct this study, two sets of data were collected for the study of dissertation acknowledgments. The first set includes 70 dissertation acknowledgments written by the NSE in soft sciences and the second set comprises 70 acknowledgments written by the NSP in the same realm of study. The reason for choosing soft sciences to be analyzed is the important role they play in human life. Social science or soft science studies the human aspects of the world. It includes an in-depth study and evaluation of human behavior by using scientific methods in either quantitative or qualitative manner. Consequently, seven disciplines of soft sciences namely literature, translation studies, applied linguistics, history, sociology, library sciences, and philosophy were selected and ten dissertation acknowledgments for each were studied across English and Persian.

B. Procedures

English data were gathered from the database of the Princeton University of America in New Jersey (including doctoral dissertations from different universities of America) as the representative sample of acknowledgments in dissertations written from 2005 to 2010. Selection of these texts was on the basis of their availability to the researcher, in the way that they were chosen and sent by a graduate from Princeton University who was informed of the purpose of the study and given adequate information about how to collect the data. For the Persian data, a series of trips was made to the universities of Ahvaz, Isfahan, Tabriz, Shiraz, Yasuj, Shahre-kord, and Mazandaran and samples of acknowledgments in dissertations written within the same time frame were picked from the relevant departments in the above mentioned universities once permission was made from the universities. The disciplines were then coded as Lit representing literature, Tra representing translation studies, Apl representing applied linguistics, His representing history, Soc representing sociology, Lib representing library sciences, and Phil representing philosophy. Also, in the current study, P stands for Persian, E stands for English, and ACK stands for acknowledgments.

C. Data Analysis

The principal procedure for analyzing the data in this study was comparative. The politeness strategies of the acknowledgment texts written in both languages were compared to see if they follow the same pattern. Frequency of each strategy was calculated and Cramer test was run to see whether possible differences were statistically significant. In the next stage, qualitative and quantitative analyses provided richer and deeper insight into the nature and function of each move.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Thus, drawing on the face model proposed by Arundale (2006), the current study compared dissertation acknowledgments written by NSP and NSE in terms of politeness strategies both qualitatively and quantitatively. The results revealed that connection face is the dominant one with about 88 % occurrence in both languages and separation face only occurred in less than 12 % of all texts (Table 1).

| TABLE 1. FREQUENCY OF FACES IN PERSIAN AND ENGLISH ACKNOWLEDGMENTS |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Persian (%)     | English (%)     |
| Connection      | 227 (84)        | 208 (95)        |
| Separation      | 43 (16)         | 10 (5)          |

Following Arundale's (2006) model, all moves and steps, except shouldering responsibility sub-move, employed in these texts function as connection face as all are meanings apparent as unity, interdependence, solidarity, association, congruence, and more, between the writers and their relational partners. Our calculations revealed that this step has
occurred in 84% of the Persian sample and more than 95% of the English sample. Here, two examples, one from Persian and one from English sample texts are given to clarify this sub-step.

1) دار پیمان بیانش از کاربردی که مرد تا متأهل کنّم و دار تأسیس آرشاد و دکتر، دوشماری-۷ انجام را تاهمامون نمود. پایان نامه-دکترایی-که مرد تا متأهل می‌کنّم. (P. ACK. Lit. No.3)

(Finally, I must dedicate my numerous thanks to my loyal wife who tolerated the years of difficulty during my MA and PhD education. I dedicate my dissertation to her)

2) I owe my special thanks to my family who endured this long process. My parents have been helpful in spending a long time with grandchildren so I could accomplish my dissertation. (E. ACK. APL. NO. 6)

The calculated value of Cramer-test in this step revealed to be 0.210 (sig< 0.05), illustrating no statistically significant difference between the two groups. Most of the sentences and phrases applied in acknowledgment texts function as connection face for the main motivation behind writing this genre, as held by AL-Ali (2010), is to produce texts that plausibly reflect interaction with different audiences in terms of exchange of materials, information, support, and advice (p. 2). As the above examples suggest, the aim of the writers is to express relational connection. For instance, as the first example reveals, the writer tries to show the congruence between and make a powerful association with his wife and himself by first describing the difficulties they had to endure and then dedicating the dissertation to her to be thankful for her tolerance. Or as the second example suggests, the writer tends to reveal the interdependence and unity between his family members, most notably his parents with first expressing gratitude, then delineating the difficulties they have experienced during the long journey of writing dissertation.

Separation occurred in more than 16% of the Persian and less than 5% of the English acknowledgements analyzed. Our analysis disclosed that all sentences and phrases utilized in the shouldering responsibility step function as separation since the writer tries to exempt academic characters from the possible errors of the dissertation. In other words, here, the writer wants to exonerate his instructors from the weaknesses and deficiencies of the dissertation and attribute them to his knowledge disabilities and by this the writer shows the dissociation and divergence between his instructors and himself as well as his autonomy in committing possible errors. Note the following examples, one from Persian and one from English sample texts.

3) دار پیمان نمی‌کنّم که ماده مسولگی، ناگهانی و زفایش-۷ انجام می‌شام. (P. ACK. Soc. No. 4)

(Finally, it should be mentioned that I am the responsible for any inadequacies, faults and weaknesses of it.)

4) Weak points of the present work must be attributed to my knowledge limitations. (E. ACK. His. No. 6)

The Cramer-test revealed to be 0.003 (sig< 0.05), showing a statistically significant difference in applying separation face. Attributing goofs to oneself to achieve face in the sense of relational separation can be justified by reference to the "Shekaste-nafsi" schema. "Shekaste-nafsi" can be a subcategory to the cultural schema of Adab (politeness/respect) in Persian (Sharifian, 2008, cited in Shirinbaksh & Eslami Rasekh, 2012, p. 3). Since Persian is a language of Adab (politeness/respect), one may draw upon the schema of "Shekaste-nafsi" to gently evade compliment, so that it does not make the listener feel inferior and also it does not make the speaker feel arrogant. In fact, it is used in line with the policy of “self-lowering and other-raising” (Beeman, 2001, p. 41) which is counted as the manifestation of Adab (politeness/respect) in speech among Persians.

IV. Conclusion

This study revealed that though the academic rules, regulations and conventions might constrain writers’ choice of thanking expressions to more uniform forms of thanking across cultures (Al-Ali, 2010; Hyland, 2003; Hyland, 2004), the social and cultural background of writers still have an effect on their language choices (Hatipoglu, 2009). In the way that, there were both matches and mismatches between the two languages in terms of exploiting different politeness strategies adopting the face model proposed by Arundale (2006) in which communication is interpreted considering connectedness with others as well as by separateness from them. Arundale’s approach to face provides a culture-general conceptualization that can be adapted to the analysis of written discourse in a variety of cultures and settings.

Cross-cultural differences in the employment of certain strategies in certain speech acts have been widely discussed in the literature of language studies such as pragmatics, sociolinguistics, and second/ foreign language teaching (e.g., Eisenstein & Bodman, 1993; Hatipoglu, 2009; Ohashi, 2008; Ruhi, 2006; Yu, 2003). In majority of the studies, it is discovered that the socio-cultural and/ or situational factors have great influence on the choice of language forms while performing some language acts. Stated differently, the choice of speech act patterns shows variation across cultures since language forms function differently in different cultures. As a matter of fact, language is a phenomenon which can hardly be viewed separate from its very inherent component, culture. This component does by all means play a significant role in enabling the language to gain a global status.
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